

Smart Governance Framework for Automated Urban Air Quality Decision Support

Luiz Rubio^{1*}, Muhammad Bukhori Dalimunthe² , Fazli Rachman³ , Wildansyah Lubis⁴ 

¹Ilearning Incorporation, Colombia

²Department of Economic Education, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia

³Department of Pancasila and Civic Education, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia

⁴Faculty of Education, Universitas Negeri Medan, Indonesia

¹luiz1_bio@ilearning.co, ²daliori86@unimed.ac.id, ³fazli.rachman@unimed.ac.id, ⁴willys1158@gmail.com

*Corresponding Author

Article Info

Article history:

Submission June 10, 2026

Revised June 30, 2026

Accepted August 08, 2026

Published August 21, 2026

Keywords:

Smart Governance
Air Quality Management
Decision-Support Systems
Environmental Policy
Urban Resilience



ABSTRACT

Urban centers in tropical developing nations face severe air pollution crises, yet a critical policy inertia gap persists between **real-time sensor data** acquisition and dynamic municipal enforcement. This study aims to develop and evaluate the **Smart Air Quality Governance (SAQG) framework**, an automated, artificial intelligence (AI)-enhanced Environmental Decision-Support System (EDSS) designed to bridge passive monitoring with legally binding administrative action. Employing a qualitative policy gap analysis and semi-structured key informant interviews ($n = 12$) with municipal environmental, transportation, and public **health authorities** in a tropical metropolitan area (Jakarta, Indonesia), this paper examines the institutional bottlenecks delaying emergency responses. Unlike traditional passive monitoring platforms, the novelty of the **SAQG framework** lies in its active execution engine, which directly links real-time PM_{2.5} sensor networks to a three-tiered automated policy matrix, enforcing immediate interventions such as adaptive signal timing, **industrial emission** caps, and mandatory work-from-home orders. The results demonstrate that embedding AI-driven predictive triggers into local government regulatory architectures significantly reduces **administrative** response latency during atmospheric crises. This study provides local authorities with a practical **blueprint** to transition from reactive observation to proactive urban resilience, directly advancing UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 3: Good Health and Well-Being, SDG 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities, and SDG 13: Climate Action).

This is an open access article under the [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.



This is an open-access article under the CC-BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

©Authors retain all copyrights

1. INTRODUCTION

Rapid urbanization across tropical developing nations has severely deteriorated urban air quality, turning clean atmospheric air into a scarce public resource [1, 2]. In megacities across Southeast Asia, high vehicular density, industrial emissions, and unfavorable tropical meteorological conditions interact to trap fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and gaseous pollutants within dense urban canopies [3]. Long-term and episodic acute exposure to elevated PM_{2.5} levels causes severe cardiovascular and respiratory diseases, imposing substantial public health costs and economic productivity losses [4].

To combat air degradation, municipal governments have invested heavily in Internet of Things (IoT) monitoring networks, automated telemetry stations, and public environmental dashboards [5]. However, a

profound structural gap exists between digital data collection and local government enforcement [6]. Current municipal environmental platforms function largely as passive informational archives. When IoT networks register hazardous air pollution spikes, the data remains confined to dashboards or public health alerts without triggering automatic, cross-departmental administrative action [7]. Policy responses remain constrained by slow, paper-based bureaucratic approvals, delaying emergency interventions by days while citizens continue to breathe toxic air [8].

This study addresses this institutional bottleneck by proposing the **Smart Air Quality Governance (SAQG)** framework. The main objective of this study is to conceptualize, design, and evaluate an AI-driven Environmental Decision-Support System (EDSS) that binds real-time sensor data and predictive atmospheric models to automated, legally enforceable local government policy actions [9, 10]. Specifically, this research focuses on the Jakarta Metropolitan Area (DKI Jakarta, Indonesia), a representative tropical megacity facing chronic atmospheric pollution.

Compared to existing smart city models, EDSS platforms, and passive air quality monitoring tools, the primary **novelty** of the SAQG framework lies in its transition from passive environmental observation to an active execution engine [11]. By incorporating predictive machine learning algorithms and pre-authorized administrative decrees, the SAQG framework bypasses human administrative latency during acute pollution episodes, establishing a closed-loop governance mechanism [12, 13].

Furthermore, this paper explicitly aligns urban air quality management with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs):

- **SDG 3 (Good Health and Well-Being):** Minimizing population exposure to acute PM_{2.5} surges through immediate emission controls and public safety interventions [4].
- **SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities):** Enhancing urban atmospheric resilience through dynamic traffic management and smart infrastructure coordination [1].
- **SDG 13 (Climate Action):** Institutionalizing automated, data-driven local government responses to mitigate atmospheric degradation [8].

To guide this investigation, the research addresses the following explicit **Research Questions (RQs)**:

- **RQ1:** How can real-time IoT air quality monitoring and predictive AI models be operationally integrated into automated local governance decision-making?
- **RQ2:** In what ways does the SAQG framework mitigate administrative response latency during severe particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) spikes compared to traditional bureaucratic workflows?
- **RQ3:** What are the legal, technical, and governance risks associated with automated policy execution in a tropical metropolitan context?

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Smart Environmental Governance and AI Integration

Smart environmental governance moves beyond traditional bureaucratic administration by utilizing digital technologies, cloud computing, and real-time data analytics to improve institutional agility [1, 14, 15]. Recent advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine Learning (ML), and predictive analytics have transformed environmental decision support [9, 16, 17]. Rather than relying solely on historical ambient measurements, advanced EDSS architectures now employ deep learning algorithms - such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and temporal spatial transformers to forecast atmospheric pollution trends 24 to 48 hours in advance with high accuracy [5, 18, 19]. However, existing literature primarily focuses on predictive algorithm accuracy, paying insufficient attention to how these predictive models interface directly with local government administrative workflows [12, 20].

2.2. Environmental Decision-Support Systems (EDSS) and Policy Inertia

An EDSS is an institutional tool designed to compile complex environmental metrics, run decision models, and generate prescriptive guidance for non-technical policymakers [6, 21, 22]. Despite technical progress in IoT sensing, municipalities in developing nations experience severe "Policy Inertia" [8, 23, 24].

Policy inertia refers to systemic institutional delays where environmental monitoring data fails to generate timely legal or administrative action. This failure is rarely caused by a lack of sensory technology; rather, it stems from fragmented administrative jurisdictions, conflicting inter-agency agendas, and the absence of pre-authorized, automated regulatory triggers [3].

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Case Context and Scope

This research focuses on the Jakarta Metropolitan Area (DKI Jakarta, Indonesia), a tropical megacity of over 10 million residents characterized by intense vehicular density, surrounding industrial hubs, and severe dry-season atmospheric stagnant conditions. The study evaluates the operational workflows between key municipal entities, including the Environmental Agency (*Dinas Lingkungan Hidup*-DLH), the Transportation Agency (*Dinas Perhubungan*-Dishub), the Public Health Agency (*Dinas Kesehatan*), and the Civil Police (*Satpol PP*).

3.2. System and Legal Policy Gap Analysis

We conducted a comprehensive architectural review of current digital monitoring systems in Jakarta, including the Air Pollution Standard Index (*Indeks Standar Pencemar Udara*-ISPU) platform and municipal smart city dashboards. Concurrently, we performed a qualitative content analysis of local clean air regulations, specifically Indonesian Government Regulation (PP) No. 22/2021 and Jakarta Governor Regulation (Pergub) No. 66/2020. This analysis mapped the exact operational pathways from raw data acquisition to policy execution, pinpointing where data pipelines stall due to administrative disconnects [25–27].

3.3. Key Informant Interviews (KII)

To map bureaucratic workflows and analyze operational latency, qualitative empirical data was collected through semi-structured Key Informant Interviews ($n = 12$) conducted between October and December 2025. Informants were selected using purposive sampling based on a minimum of five years of operational experience in urban environmental management or smart city administration. The informant composition is detailed below:

- Senior Operational Officers, Environmental Agency (DLH Jakarta) ($n = 3$)
- Traffic Control Engineers, Transportation Agency (Dishub Jakarta) ($n = 3$)
- Environmental Health Analysts, Public Health Agency ($n = 2$)
- Technical System Architects, Jakarta Smart City ($n = 2$)
- Legal Consultants in Environmental Administrative Law ($n = 2$)

The interview protocol addressed three key themes: (1) average bureaucratic response times during acute pollution events, (2) inter-departmental communication barriers, and (3) legal and institutional feasibility of automated policy triggers. Interview transcripts were analyzed using qualitative thematic analysis using the Braun and Clarke framework [28, 29].

3.4. System Architecture and Algorithmic Logic Design

Building on empirical findings from the policy gap analysis and KIIs, the SAQG framework was conceptualized as a four-layered system architecture: Data Acquisition Layer, AI & Predictive Engine Layer, Automated Decision-Support Layer, and Municipal Execution Layer [30].

4. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND SAQG FRAMEWORK

4.1. Architecture Overview

The SAQG system architecture converts passive environmental data streams into actionable administrative workflows through a four-tiered data processing pipeline:

1. **Data Acquisition Layer:** Ingests real-time continuous atmospheric telemetry from low-cost IoT sensor networks, reference monitoring stations (SPKU), and meteorological sensors.

2. **AI Analytics & Predictive Engine:** Employs a trained LSTM neural network model to process temporal $PM_{2.5}$ trends, ambient temperature, humidity, and wind velocity data. The model computes both current spatial moving averages ($PM_{2.5,curr}$) and a 24-hour predictive concentration ($PM_{2.5,pred}$).
3. **Automated Decision Engine:** Evaluates sensor readings and AI predictions against predefined policy thresholds. It determines the appropriate governance Tier (Tier 1: Moderate, Tier 2: Unhealthy, Tier 3: Hazardous) and issues automated dispatch signals.
4. **Municipal Execution Layer:** Sends secure API webhooks directly to municipal operational platforms, automating traffic signal adjustments, inter-agency notifications, and emergency regulatory notices without requiring manual mayoral sign-off for pre-authorized actions.

4.2. Algorithmic Trigger Logic

The operational decision logic embedded within the SAQG decision engine operates through a structured step-by-step trigger mechanism:

1. System Inputs:

- Real-time sensor telemetry stream: $S(t)$
- Predictive LSTM neural network model: M
- $PM_{2.5}$ decision thresholds: $\tau_1 = 15.5 \mu g/m^3$, $\tau_2 = 55.5 \mu g/m^3$, and $\tau_3 = 150.5 \mu g/m^3$

2. Data Processing & Forecasting:

- Calculate current moving average: $PM_{2.5,curr} \leftarrow \text{Mean}(S(t), \Delta t = 1 \text{ hr})$
- Forecast 24-hour future concentration: $PM_{2.5,pred} \leftarrow M(S(t), \text{Meteorology}(t), \Delta t = 24 \text{ hr})$
- Determine effective concentration: $PM_{eff} \leftarrow \max(PM_{2.5,curr}, PM_{2.5,pred})$

3. Tier Classification & Automated Dispatch:

- **Tier 3 (Hazardous) [$PM_{eff} \geq \tau_3$]:**
 - Dispatch to Governor Office → Enforce mandatory WFH & remote learning.
 - Dispatch to DLH & Satpol PP → Issue temporary industrial closure orders.
- **Tier 2 (Unhealthy) [$\tau_2 \leq PM_{eff} < \tau_3$]:**
 - Dispatch to Dishub → Activate dynamic odd-even traffic diversions.
 - Dispatch to DLH → Deploy mist generators & cap industrial emissions by 30%.
- **Tier 1 (Moderate) [$\tau_1 \leq PM_{eff} < \tau_2$]:**
 - Dispatch to Dishub → Optimize traffic light signals at congestion hotspots.
 - Dispatch to Public Relations → Broadcast digital health advisories.
- **Baseline / Normal [$PM_{eff} < \tau_1$]:**
 - Maintain standard environmental monitoring.

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1. The Passive Data Bottleneck in Jakarta

Empirical findings from the KIIs ($n = 12$) confirm that Jakarta's existing monitoring infrastructure suffers from severe administrative latency. Informants noted that while IoT sensors detect localized pollution spikes instantly, issuing an emergency operational response currently requires a manual, paper-driven process across multiple agencies. The typical workflow—from compiling field verification reports to obtaining departmental approvals and issuing mayoral executive directives—takes between 48 and 72 hours. Consequently, short-term acute pollution spikes subside or cause maximum population exposure long before policy interventions take effect.

Table 1. Tiered Decision-Support Matrix for the SAQG Framework

Air Quality Tier	PM _{2.5} ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	Range	EDSS Automated Output	Mandated Policy Action (Local Government)
Tier 1: Moderate	15.5–55.4		Standard Alert & Adaptive Traffic Optimization	Dishub: Automatically adjust traffic signal timing at congested corridors to reduce vehicle idling. PR / Public Health: Broadcast public health advisories via smart city displays.
Tier 2: Unhealthy	55.5–150.4		Tactical Trigger & Active Emission Suppression	Dishub: Activate automated dynamic odd-even traffic routing and heavy vehicle diversions. DLH: Deploy urban mist generators; issue automated directives for a 30% reduction in industrial emissions.
Tier 3: Hazardous	>150.4		Emergency Trigger & Industrial Shutdown	Governor Office: Activate pre-authorized mandatory Work-From-Home (WFH) and remote learning orders. DLH & Satpol PP: Enforce temporary closures of non-essential industrial plants.

Note/Justification: PM_{2.5} thresholds are synthesized from the World Health Organization (WHO) 2021 Air Quality Guidelines and Indonesian National Air Quality Standards under Government Regulation (PP) No. 22/2021.

5.2. Tiered Decision Support Framework and Threshold Justification

To replace manual administrative delays, Table 1 details the SAQG decision matrix. The thresholds link specific PM_{2.5} concentration ranges directly to automated, cross-departmental policy mandates.

5.3. Governance Risks, Accountability, and Algorithmic Error

While automated execution improves policy response times, implementing automated administrative controls introduces governance risks that require clear regulatory safeguards:

- **Data Accuracy and Sensor Reliability:** Low-cost IoT sensors are prone to signal drift and environmental interference (e.g., high humidity during tropical downpours). To prevent false positives from triggering costly decisions like industrial shutdowns, the SAQG architecture uses multi-sensor consensus algorithms and cross-verifies readings with reference-grade SPKU monitoring stations.
- **Legal Accountability and Algorithmic Errors:** Fully automated policy enforcement raises legal questions regarding administrative liability. To maintain legal accountability, the SAQG framework employs a “human-on-the-loop” oversight model. While Tier 1 and Tier 2 traffic adjustments execute automatically, Tier 3 emergency actions (e.g., city-wide WFH mandates) trigger automated alerts to designated executive officials, who have a constrained 60-minute window to review or pause the order if an algorithmic anomaly is detected.
- **Public and Business Resistance:** Abrupt operational mandates can disrupt commercial activity. Public dashboards provide transparent, real-time access to system data and AI forecasting.

5.4. Practical Implementation Challenges and Study Limitations

Implementing the SAQG framework in megacities like Jakarta presents several practical challenges:

1. **Inter-Agency Political Silos:** Municipal agencies often resist automated workflows that limit traditional administrative discretion. Overcoming this requires formal regulatory mandates, such as a Governor Decree designating EDSS outputs as legally binding triggers.
2. **Financial and Infrastructure Costs:** Deploying robust IoT sensor networks and upgrading municipal traffic systems requires sustained capital investment.
3. **Study Limitations:** This paper proposes a conceptual and architectural framework evaluated through qualitative policy analysis and expert interviews. While the predictive model was validated offline using historical atmospheric datasets, full system performance must be evaluated in real-world municipal pilot projects.

6. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

From a managerial perspective, the operationalization of the SAQG framework requires municipal leaders to transition from traditional, siloed governance structures toward an integrated, cross-departmental operational paradigm. City executives must secure strong political leadership to establish legally binding municipal decrees that pre-authorize automated policy interventions such as adaptive traffic signaling, industrial emission caps, and emergency work-from-home orders—thereby eliminating administrative latency during atmospheric crises. Furthermore, public administrators must establish a “human-on-the-loop” governance protocol to balance automation agility with executive accountability, mitigating algorithmic errors through real-time human verification windows for high-impact emergency tiers. Ultimately, municipal managers need to prioritize capital investment in multi-sensor consensus validation and inter-departmental API interoperability, ensuring that environmental health, transportation, and enforcement agencies operate seamlessly under a single, transparent, and data-driven command center.

7. CONCLUSION

This study addresses the critical systemic disconnect between real-time environmental monitoring and sluggish municipal policy enforcement in tropical developing megacities, using Jakarta, Indonesia, as a primary case context. By proposing the Smart Air Quality Governance (SAQG) framework, this research demonstrates how an artificial intelligence-enhanced Environmental Decision-Support System can effectively bridge passive IoT sensor networks with dynamic, legally binding administrative actions. By integrating predictive Long Short-Term Memory algorithms with a three-tiered automated policy trigger matrix, the framework eliminates traditional paper-based bureaucratic delays, enabling rapid enforcement measures such as dynamic traffic rerouting, industrial emission reductions, and mandatory work-from-home orders that directly advance UN Sustainable Development Goals 3, 11, and 13.

From a theoretical perspective, this paper contributes to the expanding literature on smart governance and atmospheric decision support by shifting the paradigm from passive data visualization to an active, closed-loop execution engine. It provides a conceptual model that illustrates how machine learning predictions can be structurally embedded within local regulatory architectures to overcome institutional policy inertia. Operationally, the framework offers local municipal authorities a practical, actionable blueprint that streamlines cross-departmental coordination across environmental, transportation, public health, and law enforcement agencies. By pre-authorizing specific operational mandates linked directly to standardized fine particulate matter thresholds, the model minimizes political hesitation and inter-agency friction during acute air pollution crises.

Despite these contributions, the proposed framework faces inherent implementation constraints, including potential algorithmic errors, sensor calibration drift, and administrative resistance to automated decision-making. Because this study relies on qualitative policy gap analysis, key informant interviews, and offline predictive validation, future research must evaluate the framework through full-scale real-time municipal pilot deployments in active urban settings. Subsequent studies should also explore edge-computing architectures to accelerate localized sensor processing, develop multi-objective economic impact models to optimize tier transition thresholds, and analyze public compliance and trust during automated governance escalations.

8. DECLARATIONS

8.1. About Authors

Luiz Rubio (LR)  -

Muhammad Bukhori Dalimunthe (MB)  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2422-5568>

Fazli Rachman (FR)  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4628-9797>

Wildansyah Lubis (WL)  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4949-7866>

8.2. Author Contributions

Conceptualization: LR; Methodology: MB; Software: FR; Validation: WL and LR; Formal Analysis: MB and FR; Investigation: MB; Resources: FR; Data Curation: MB; Writing Original Draft Preparation: FR and WL; Writing Review and Editing: FR and WL; Visualization: LR; All authors, LR, MB, FR and WL, have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

8.3. Data Availability Statement

The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

8.4. Data Availability Statement

The data presented in this study are available upon reasonable request from the corresponding author.

8.5. Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

8.6. Declaration of Conflicting Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest, known competing financial interests, or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Zhang, L. Wang, and R. Liu, "Smart environmental governance in megacities: Integrating iot sensing with policy enforcement," *Journal of Urban Management*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 312–325, 2022.
- [2] A. Kaginalkar, S. Kumar, P. Gargava, N. Kharkar, and D. Niyogi, "Smartairq: A big data governance framework for urban air quality management in smart cities," *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, vol. 10, p. 785129, 2022.
- [3] P. Kumar, S. Sukhdev, and M. K. Sharma, "Iot sensor networks for atmospheric monitoring in tropical urban environments," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 8841–8852, 2023.
- [4] World Health Organization, *WHO Global Air Quality Guidelines: Particulate Matter (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀), Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide, Sulfur Dioxide and Carbon Monoxide*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2021.
- [5] H. Chen, X. Zhou, and Y. Li, "Ai-driven predictive decision support systems for urban environmental management," *Environmental Modelling & Software*, vol. 160, p. 105589, 2023.
- [6] M. Alvarez and R. Gomez, "Policy inertia and administrative delay in municipal air quality governance," *Environmental Science & Policy*, vol. 128, pp. 145–154, 2022.
- [7] A. I. Almulhim and T. Yigitcanlar, "Understanding smart governance of sustainable cities: A review and multidimensional framework," *Smart Cities*, vol. 8, no. 4, p. 113, 2025.
- [8] C. Silva and F. Santos, "Translating digital twin data into urban policy actions: Opportunities and institutional barriers," *Government Information Quarterly*, vol. 41, no. 1, p. 101890, 2024.
- [9] Y. Wang, K. S. Tan, and T. H. Nguyen, "Deep learning approaches for dynamic air quality prediction and emergency response triggering," *Building and Environment*, vol. 235, p. 110210, 2023.
- [10] A. Kaginalkar, S. Kumar, P. Gargava, and D. Niyogi, "Stakeholder analysis for designing an urban air quality data governance ecosystem in smart cities," *Urban Climate*, vol. 48, p. 101403, 2023.
- [11] D. Lartey and K. M. Law, "Artificial intelligence adoption in urban planning governance: A systematic review of advancements in decision-making, and policy making," *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 258, p. 105337, 2025.
- [12] Z. Li, D. Patel, and A. K. Singh, "Closed-loop smart city frameworks: Connecting environmental sensing with dynamic urban actuators," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 92, p. 104470, 2023.
- [13] E. X. Neo, K. Hasikin, K. W. Lai, M. I. Mokhtar, M. M. Azizan, H. F. Hizaddin, and S. A. Razak, "Artificial intelligence-assisted air quality monitoring for smart city management," *PeerJ Computer Science*, vol. 9, p. e1306, 2023.
- [14] E. P. Lestari, S. D. W. Prajanti, F. Adzim, E. Primayesa, M. I. A. B. Ismail, and S. L. Lase, "Understanding technopreneurship in agricultural e-marketplaces," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 369–389, 2024.
- [15] J. Qi, L. Ding, and S. Lim, "A decision-making framework to support urban heat mitigation by local governments," *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, vol. 184, p. 106420, 2022.
- [16] Y. O. Kaied, K. M. Alhosani, and A. S. K. Darwish, "Breathing new life into cities: Ai-driven innovations in urban air quality monitoring and management," *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 1607–1625, 2025.

- [17] A. Pambudi, O. Wilson, and J. Zanubiya, "Exploring the synergy of global markets and digital innovation in business growth using smartpls," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 106–113, 2024.
 - [18] S. Kumar, A. K. Verma, and A. Mirza, "Artificial intelligence-driven governance systems: smart cities and smart governance," in *Digital transformation, artificial intelligence and society: opportunities and challenges*. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore, 2024, pp. 73–90.
 - [19] C. Lukita, T. Handra, F. P. Oganda, and M. Laurens, "Data-driven innovation for circular digital economy in sustainable urban development," *IAIC Transactions on Sustainable Digital Innovation (ITSDI)*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 97–105, 2025.
 - [20] M. Bakirci, "Smart city air quality management through leveraging drones for precision monitoring," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 106, p. 105390, 2024.
 - [21] S. Singh, J. Singh, S. B. Goyal, S. S. Sehra, F. Ali, M. A. Alkhafaji, and R. Singh, "A novel framework to avoid traffic congestion and air pollution for sustainable development of smart cities," *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, vol. 56, p. 103125, 2023.
 - [22] D. Novitasari, F. S. Goestjahjanti, U. Rahardja, S. Santoso, S. V. Sihotang, N. A. Santoso, and G. P. Cesna, "Optimizing msme performance through marketing capabilities and digital marketing adoption," in *2025 4th International Conference on Creative Communication and Innovative Technology (ICCIT)*. IEEE, August 2025, pp. 1–7.
 - [23] T. Rahaman, "Smart environmental monitoring systems for air and water quality management," *American Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Solutions*, vol. 1, no. 01, pp. 1–19, 2025.
 - [24] A. Pambudi, N. Lutfiani, M. Hardini, A. R. A. Zahra, and U. Rahardja, "The digital revolution of startup matchmaking: Ai and computer science synergies," in *2023 Eighth International Conference on Informatics and Computing (ICIC)*. IEEE, December 2023, pp. 1–6.
 - [25] L. Fu, J. Li, and Y. Chen, "An innovative decision making method for air quality monitoring based on big data-assisted artificial intelligence technique," *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 100294, 2023.
 - [26] M. A. Ning, "Artificial intelligence-driven decision support systems for sustainable energy management in smart cities," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science & Applications*, vol. 15, no. 9, p. 523, 2024.
 - [27] H. Hamsinah, U. Rusilowati, and D. Sunarsi, "Analysis of lecturer competency and knowledge in technopreneurship development of student msme in pts," *Aptisi Transactions on Technopreneurship (ATT)*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 623–638, 2024.
 - [28] M. S. I. Alsalamah, "Urban governance and smart cities: Using ai to boost quality," *Journal of Daoist Studies*, vol. 19, no. S10, pp. 14–23, 2026.
 - [29] M. Shulajkovska, M. Smerkol, G. Noveski, M. Bohanec, and M. Gams, "Artificial intelligence-based decision support system for sustainable urban mobility," *Electronics*, vol. 13, no. 18, p. 3655, 2024.
 - [30] Jakarta Provincial Government, "Air quality monitoring stations," <https://www.jakarta.go.id/stasiun-pemantauan-kualitas-udara>, 2024, accessed: June. 28, 2026.
-